



Study Region: Teller County

Hazard Scenario: Ute Pass Fault 7

Overview Map

Legend

- Cities
- Roads
- Fault
- Study Region Tract
- Counties



Created by: Colorado Geological Survey

Team: Matt Morgan and Scot Fitzgerald

Date Created: January 2013

Location: Teller County Colorado

Fault Parameters: arbitrary, magnitude 7, depth 10km

Data: Changed to CGS Landslides and CGS/FEMA Soils data

Projection: GCS North American 1983

0 5 10 20 Miles



HAZUS
EARTHQUAKE • WIND • FLOOD **MH**

Hazus-MH: Earthquake Event Report

Region Name: Teller County Ute Pass fault 2010Census

Earthquake Scenario: Ute Pass fault 7.0

Print Date: March 04, 2013

Totals only reflect data for those census tracts/blocks included in the user's study region.

Disclaimer:

The estimates of social and economic impacts contained in this report were produced using Hazus loss estimation methodology software which is based on current scientific and engineering knowledge. There are uncertainties inherent in any loss estimation technique. Therefore, there may be significant differences between the modeled results contained in this report and the actual social and economic losses following a specific earthquake. These results can be improved by using enhanced inventory, geotechnical, and observed ground motion data.

Table of Contents

Section	Page #
General Description of the Region	3
Building and Lifeline Inventory	4
Building Inventory	
Critical Facility Inventory	
Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory	
Earthquake Scenario Parameters	6
Direct Earthquake Damage	7
Buildings Damage	
Critical Facilities Damage	
Transportation and Utility Lifeline Damage	
Induced Earthquake Damage	11
Fire Following Earthquake	
Debris Generation	
Social Impact	12
Shelter Requirements	
Casualties	
Economic Loss	13
Building Losses	
Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses	
Long-term Indirect Economic Impacts	
Appendix A: County Listing for the Region	
Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data	

General Description of the Region

Hazus is a regional earthquake loss estimation model that was developed by the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the National Institute of Building Sciences. The primary purpose of Hazus is to provide a methodology and software application to develop earthquake losses at a regional scale. These loss estimates would be used primarily by local, state and regional officials to plan and stimulate efforts to reduce risks from earthquakes and to prepare for emergency response and recovery.

The earthquake loss estimates provided in this report was based on a region that includes 1 county(ies) from the following state(s):

Colorado

Note:

Appendix A contains a complete listing of the counties contained in the region.

The geographical size of the region is 558.36 square miles and contains 6 census tracts. There are over 9 thousand households in the region which has a total population of 23,350 people (2002 Census Bureau data). The distribution of population by State and County is provided in Appendix B.

There are an estimated 13 thousand buildings in the region with a total building replacement value (excluding contents) of 2,526 (millions of dollars). Approximately 93.00 % of the buildings (and 82.00% of the building value) are associated with residential housing.

The replacement value of the transportation and utility lifeline systems is estimated to be 386 and 193 (millions of dollars), respectively.

Building and Lifeline Inventory

Building Inventory

Hazus estimates that there are 13 thousand buildings in the region which have an aggregate total replacement value of 2,526 (millions of dollars) . Appendix B provides a general distribution of the building value by State and County.

In terms of building construction types found in the region, wood frame construction makes up 65% of the building inventory. The remaining percentage is distributed between the other general building types.

Critical Facility Inventory

Hazus breaks critical facilities into two (2) groups: essential facilities and high potential loss facilities (HPL). Essential facilities include hospitals, medical clinics, schools, fire stations, police stations and emergency operations facilities. High potential loss facilities include dams, levees, military installations, nuclear power plants and hazardous material sites.

For essential facilities, there are 1 hospitals in the region with a total bed capacity of 0 beds. There are 7 schools, 15 fire stations, 6 police stations and 1 emergency operation facilities. With respect to high potential loss facilities (HPL), there are 29 dams identified within the region. Of these, 4 of the dams are classified as 'high hazard'. The inventory also includes 4 hazardous material sites, 0 military installations and 0 nuclear power plants.

Transportation and Utility Lifeline Inventory

Within Hazus, the lifeline inventory is divided between transportation and utility lifeline systems. There are seven (7) transportation systems that include highways, railways, light rail, bus, ports, ferry and airports. There are six (6) utility systems that include potable water, wastewater, natural gas, crude & refined oil, electric power and communications. The lifeline inventory data are provided in Tables 1 and 2.

The total value of the lifeline inventory is over 579.00 (millions of dollars). This inventory includes over 91 kilometers of highways, 26 bridges, 4,079 kilometers of pipes.

Table 1: Transportation System Lifeline Inventory

System	Component	# Locations/ # Segments	Replacement value (millions of dollars)
Highway	Bridges	26	6.30
	Segments	5	378.00
	Tunnels	1	0.80
	Subtotal		385.00
Railways	Bridges	0	0.00
	Facilities	0	0.00
	Segments	0	0.00
	Tunnels	0	0.00
	Subtotal		0.00
Light Rail	Bridges	0	0.00
	Facilities	0	0.00
	Segments	0	0.00
	Tunnels	0	0.00
	Subtotal		0.00
Bus	Facilities	1	1.10
	Subtotal		1.10
Ferry	Facilities	0	0.00
	Subtotal		0.00
Port	Facilities	0	0.00
	Subtotal		0.00
Airport	Facilities	0	0.00
	Runways	0	0.00
	Subtotal		0.00
		Total	386.10

Table 2: Utility System Lifeline Inventory

System	Component	# Locations / Segments	Replacement value (millions of dollars)
Potable Water	Distribution Lines	NA	40.80
	Facilities	0	0.00
	Pipelines	0	0.00
	Subtotal		40.80
Waste Water	Distribution Lines	NA	24.50
	Facilities	3	193.80
	Pipelines	0	0.00
	Subtotal		218.30
Natural Gas	Distribution Lines	NA	16.30
	Facilities	0	0.00
	Pipelines	0	0.00
	Subtotal		16.30
Oil Systems	Facilities	0	0.00
	Pipelines	0	0.00
	Subtotal		0.00
Electrical Power	Facilities	2	0.00
	Subtotal		0.00
Communication	Facilities	1	0.10
	Subtotal		0.10
		Total	275.50

Earthquake Scenario

Hazus uses the following set of information to define the earthquake parameters used for the earthquake loss estimate provided in this report.

Scenario Name	Ute Pass fault 7.0
Type of Earthquake	Arbitrary
Fault Name	NA
Historical Epicenter ID #	NA
Probabilistic Return Period	NA
Longitude of Epicenter	-105.00
Latitude of Epicenter	38.92
Earthquake Magnitude	7.00
Depth (Km)	10.00
Rupture Length (Km)	35.48
Rupture Orientation (degrees)	152.00
Attenuation Function	Central & East US (CEUS 2008)

Building Damage

Building Damage

Hazus estimates that about 9,415 buildings will be at least moderately damaged. This is over 70.00 % of the buildings in the region. There are an estimated 3,595 buildings that will be damaged beyond repair. The definition of the 'damage states' is provided in Volume 1: Chapter 5 of the Hazus technical manual. Table 3 below summarizes the expected damage by general occupancy for the buildings in the region. Table 4 below summarizes the expected damage by general building type.

Table 3: Expected Building Damage by Occupancy

	None		Slight		Moderate		Extensive		Complete	
	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)
Agriculture	3	0.13	3	0.17	7	0.22	8	0.27	17	0.48
Commercial	32	1.49	28	1.56	70	2.28	102	3.68	313	8.72
Education	1	0.04	1	0.03	1	0.04	1	0.05	3	0.09
Government	6	0.26	4	0.22	7	0.24	7	0.26	12	0.34
Industrial	9	0.43	9	0.52	25	0.83	40	1.44	121	3.38
Other Residential	275	12.69	187	10.58	320	10.49	388	14.01	747	20.76
Religion	3	0.13	2	0.14	6	0.19	8	0.27	20	0.57
Single Family	1,842	84.84	1,536	86.78	2,617	85.72	2,214	80.02	2,361	65.67
Total	2,171		1,770		3,053		2,767		3,596	

Table 4: Expected Building Damage by Building Type (All Design Levels)

	None		Slight		Moderate		Extensive		Complete	
	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)	Count	(%)
Wood	1,791	82.50	1518	85.76	2,408	78.85	1,822	65.84	1,175	32.68
Steel	10	0.47	8	0.47	24	0.78	37	1.32	124	3.46
Concrete	15	0.67	12	0.70	26	0.85	33	1.18	95	2.65
Precast	8	0.36	5	0.30	16	0.54	26	0.92	103	2.87
RM	248	11.44	117	6.59	331	10.84	491	17.74	1,220	33.93
URM	30	1.41	28	1.57	50	1.65	66	2.37	228	6.33
MH	69	3.16	82	4.61	198	6.48	294	10.62	650	18.09
Total	2,171		1,770		3,053		2,767		3,596	

*Note:

RM Reinforced Masonry
URM Unreinforced Masonry
MH Manufactured Housing

Essential Facility Damage

Before the earthquake, the region had 0 hospital beds available for use. On the day of the earthquake, the model estimates that only 0 hospital beds (0.00%) are available for use by patients already in the hospital and those injured by the earthquake. After one week, 0.00% of the beds will be back in service. By 30 days, 5.00% will be operational.

Table 5: Expected Damage to Essential Facilities

Classification	Total	# Facilities		
		At Least Moderate Damage > 50%	Complete Damage > 50%	With Functionality > 50% on day 1
Hospitals	1	1	1	0
Schools	7	7	5	0
EOCs	1	1	0	0
PoliceStations	6	3	0	3
FireStations	15	7	0	5

Transportation and Utility Lifeline Damage

Table 6 provides damage estimates for the transportation system.

Table 6: Expected Damage to the Transportation Systems

System	Component	Number of Locations_				
		Locations/ Segments	With at Least Mod. Damage	With Complete Damage	With Functionality > 50 %	
					After Day 1	After Day 7
Highway	Segments	5	0	0	5	5
	Bridges	26	6	1	21	25
	Tunnels	1	0	0	1	1
Railways	Segments	0	0	0	0	0
	Bridges	0	0	0	0	0
	Tunnels	0	0	0	0	0
	Facilities	0	0	0	0	0
Light Rail	Segments	0	0	0	0	0
	Bridges	0	0	0	0	0
	Tunnels	0	0	0	0	0
	Facilities	0	0	0	0	0
Bus	Facilities	1	0	0	1	1
Ferry	Facilities	0	0	0	0	0
Port	Facilities	0	0	0	0	0
Airport	Facilities	0	0	0	0	0
	Runways	0	0	0	0	0

Note: Roadway segments, railroad tracks and light rail tracks are assumed to be damaged by ground failure only. If ground failure maps are not provided, damage estimates to these components will not be computed.

Tables 7-9 provide information on the damage to the utility lifeline systems. Table 7 provides damage to the utility system facilities. Table 8 provides estimates on the number of leaks and breaks by the pipelines of the utility systems. For electric power and potable water, Hazus performs a simplified system performance analysis. Table 9 provides a summary of the system performance information.

Table 7 : Expected Utility System Facility Damage

System	# of Locations				
	Total #	With at Least Moderate Damage	With Complete Damage	with Functionality > 50 %	
				After Day 1	After Day 7
Potable Water	0	0	0	0	0
Waste Water	3	3	2	0	0
Natural Gas	0	0	0	0	0
Oil Systems	0	0	0	0	0
Electrical Power	2	2	1	0	1
Communication	1	0	0	1	1

Table 8 : Expected Utility System Pipeline Damage (Site Specific)

System	Total Pipelines Length (kms)	Number of Leaks	Number of Breaks
Potable Water	2,040	1805	451
Waste Water	1,224	906	227
Natural Gas	816	311	78
Oil	0	0	0

Table 9: Expected Potable Water and Electric Power System Performance

	Total # of Households	Number of Households without Service				
		At Day 1	At Day 3	At Day 7	At Day 30	At Day 90
Potable Water	9,805	7,912	7,493	6,268	0	0
Electric Power		9,236	8,050	5,648	1,971	10

Induced Earthquake Damage

Fire Following Earthquake

Fires often occur after an earthquake. Because of the number of fires and the lack of water to fight the fires, they can often burn out of control. Hazus uses a Monte Carlo simulation model to estimate the number of ignitions and the amount of burnt area. For this scenario, the model estimates that there will be 0 ignitions that will burn about 0.00 sq. mi 0.00 % of the region's total area.) The model also estimates that the fires will displace about 0 people and burn about 0 (millions of dollars) of building value.

Debris Generation

Hazus estimates the amount of debris that will be generated by the earthquake. The model breaks the debris into two general categories: a) Brick/Wood and b) Reinforced Concrete/Steel. This distinction is made because of the different types of material handling equipment required to handle the debris.

The model estimates that a total of 0.50 million tons of debris will be generated. Of the total amount, Brick/Wood comprises 37.00% of the total, with the remainder being Reinforced Concrete/Steel. If the debris tonnage is converted to an estimated number of truckloads, it will require 19,960 truckloads (@25 tons/truck) to remove the debris generated by the earthquake.

Shelter Requirement

Hazus estimates the number of households that are expected to be displaced from their homes due to the earthquake and the number of displaced people that will require accommodations in temporary public shelters. The model estimates 2,343 households to be displaced due to the earthquake. Of these, 1,152 people (out of a total population of 23,350) will seek temporary shelter in public shelters.

Casualties

Hazus estimates the number of people that will be injured and killed by the earthquake. The casualties are broken down into four (4) severity levels that describe the extent of the injuries. The levels are described as follows;

- Severity Level 1: Injuries will require medical attention but hospitalization is not needed.
- Severity Level 2: Injuries will require hospitalization but are not considered life-threatening
- Severity Level 3: Injuries will require hospitalization and can become life threatening if not promptly treated.
- Severity Level 4: Victims are killed by the earthquake.

The casualty estimates are provided for three (3) times of day: 2:00 AM, 2:00 PM and 5:00 PM. These times represent the periods of the day that different sectors of the community are at their peak occupancy loads. The 2:00 AM estimate considers that the residential occupancy load is maximum, the 2:00 PM estimate considers that the educational, commercial and industrial sector loads are maximum and 5:00 PM represents peak commute time.

Table 10 provides a summary of the casualties estimated for this earthquake

Table 10: Casualty Estimates

		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Level 4
2 AM	Commercial	4	1	0	0
	Commuting	0	0	0	0
	Educational	0	0	0	0
	Hotels	6	2	0	1
	Industrial	4	1	0	0
	Other-Residential	68	17	1	2
	Single Family	418	124	18	35
	Total	500	145	20	39
2 PM	Commercial	252	83	14	28
	Commuting	0	0	1	0
	Educational	277	93	16	32
	Hotels	1	0	0	0
	Industrial	31	10	2	3
	Other-Residential	11	3	0	0
	Single Family	73	21	3	6
	Total	645	210	36	70
5 PM	Commercial	227	73	13	24
	Commuting	9	12	20	4
	Educational	27	9	2	3
	Hotels	2	1	0	0
	Industrial	19	6	1	2
	Other-Residential	25	6	0	1
	Single Family	165	48	7	13
	Total	473	156	44	48

Economic Loss

The total economic loss estimated for the earthquake is 1,764.00 (millions of dollars), which includes building and lifeline related losses based on the region's available inventory. The following three sections provide more detailed information about these losses.

Building-Related Losses

The building losses are broken into two categories: direct building losses and business interruption losses. The direct building losses are the estimated costs to repair or replace the damage caused to the building and its contents. The business interruption losses are the losses associated with inability to operate a business because of the damage sustained during the earthquake. Business interruption losses also include the temporary living expenses for those people displaced from their homes because of the earthquake.

The total building-related losses were 1,609.75 (millions of dollars); 16 % of the estimated losses were related to the business interruption of the region. By far, the largest loss was sustained by the residential occupancies which made up over 65 % of the total loss. Table 11 below provides a summary of the losses associated with the building damage.

Table 11: Building-Related Economic Loss Estimates

(Millions of dollars)

Category	Area	Single Family	Other Residential	Commercial	Industrial	Others	Total
Income Losses							
	Wage	0.00	4.68	44.07	1.01	5.32	55.08
	Capital-Related	0.00	2.03	35.53	0.60	0.85	39.01
	Rental	20.72	6.26	12.03	0.19	0.89	40.10
	Relocation	70.65	5.76	27.18	0.93	11.12	115.65
	Subtotal	91.38	18.74	118.81	2.72	18.19	249.84
Capital Stock Losses							
	Structural	165.61	11.26	37.51	4.82	15.15	234.35
	Non_Structural	569.77	43.50	160.85	20.64	56.98	851.74
	Content	136.26	9.55	84.46	11.89	27.45	269.60
	Inventory	0.00	0.00	1.10	2.93	0.19	4.22
	Subtotal	871.63	64.31	283.92	40.29	99.76	1,359.91
	Total	963.01	83.05	402.73	43.01	117.95	1,609.75

Transportation and Utility Lifeline Losses

For the transportation and utility lifeline systems, Hazus computes the direct repair cost for each component only. There are no losses computed by Hazus for business interruption due to lifeline outages. Tables 12 & 13 provide a detailed breakdown in the expected lifeline losses.

Hazus estimates the long-term economic impacts to the region for 15 years after the earthquake. The model quantifies this information in terms of income and employment changes within the region. Table 14 presents the results of the region for the given earthquake.

Table 12: Transportation System Economic Losses
(Millions of dollars)

System	Component	Inventory Value	Economic Loss	Loss Ratio (%)
Highway	Segments	377.96	\$0.00	0.00
	Bridges	6.26	\$0.93	14.85
	Tunnels	0.82	\$0.01	0.82
	Subtotal	385.00	0.90	
Railways	Segments	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Bridges	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Tunnels	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Facilities	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Subtotal	0.00	0.00	
Light Rail	Segments	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Bridges	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Tunnels	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Facilities	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Subtotal	0.00	0.00	
Bus	Facilities	1.06	\$0.23	21.64
	Subtotal	1.10	0.20	
Ferry	Facilities	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Subtotal	0.00	0.00	
Port	Facilities	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Subtotal	0.00	0.00	
Airport	Facilities	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Runways	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Subtotal	0.00	0.00	
	Total	386.10	1.20	

Table 13: Utility System Economic Losses

(Millions of dollars)

System	Component	Inventory Value	Economic Loss	Loss Ratio (%)
Potable Water	Pipelines	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Facilities	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Distribution Lines	40.80	\$8.12	19.91
	Subtotal	40.79	\$8.12	
Waste Water	Pipelines	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Facilities	193.80	\$139.48	71.97
	Distribution Lines	24.50	\$4.08	16.67
	Subtotal	218.28	\$143.56	
Natural Gas	Pipelines	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Facilities	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Distribution Lines	16.30	\$1.40	8.56
	Subtotal	16.32	\$1.40	
Oil Systems	Pipelines	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Facilities	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Subtotal	0.00	\$0.00	
Electrical Power	Facilities	0.00	\$0.00	0.00
	Subtotal	0.00	\$0.00	
Communication	Facilities	0.10	\$0.01	13.51
	Subtotal	0.10	\$0.01	
	Total	275.49	\$153.09	

Table 14. Indirect Economic Impact with outside aid

(Employment as # of people and Income in millions of \$)

LOSS	Total	%

Appendix A: County Listing for the Region

Teller,CO




Appendix B: Regional Population and Building Value Data

State	County Name	Population	Building Value (millions of dollars)		
			Residential	Non-Residential	Total
Colorado	Teller	23,350	2,062	463	2,526
Total State		23,350	2,062	463	2,526
Total Region		23,350	2,062	463	2,526

Study Region: Teller County

Hazard Scenario: Ute Pass Fault 7

Bridges Map



Created by: Colorado Geological Survey

Team: Matt Morgan and Scot Fitzgerald

Date Created: January 2013

Location: Teller County Colorado

Fault Parameters: arbitrary, magnitude 7, depth 10km

Data: Changed to CGS Landslides and CGS/FEMA Soils data

Projection: GCS North American 1983

0 5 10 20 Miles



Study Region: Teller County

Hazard Scenario: Ute Pass Fault 7

Building Economic Loss Map

Legend

— Fault

Building Economic Loss in Thousands of Dollars

7127 - 104176

104176 - 201225



201225 - 298274

298274 - 395323

395323 - 492372

492372 - 589421

Study Region Tract



Created by: Colorado Geological Survey

Team: Matt Morgan and Scot Fitzgerald

Date Created: January 2013

Location: Teller County Colorado

Fault Parameters: arbitrary, magnitude 7, depth 10km

Data: Changed to CGS Landslides and CGS/FEMA Soils data

Projection: GCS North American 1983

0 5 10 20 Miles






HAZUS
EARTHQUAKE • WIND • FLOOD **MH**

Study Region: Teller County

Hazard Scenario: Ute Pass Fault 7

Care Facilities Map



Created by: Colorado Geological Survey

Team: Matt Morgan and Scot Fitzgerald

Date Created: January 2013

Location: Teller County Colorado

Fault Parameters: arbitrary, magnitude 7, depth 10km

Data: Changed to CGS Landslides and CGS/FEMA Soils data

Projection: GCS North American 1983

0 5 10 20 Miles



HAZUS
EARTHQUAKE • WIND • FLOOD

Study Region: Teller County


Hazard Scenario: Ute Pass Fault 7

Electrical Facilities Map

Legend



Electrical Power Facilities

Probability Damage > Extensive



— Fault

Study Region Tract



Created by: Colorado Geological Survey

Team: Matt Morgan and Scot Fitzgerald

Date Created: January 2013

Location: Teller County Colorado

Fault Parameters: arbitrary, magnitude 7, depth 10km

Data: Changed to CGS Landslides and CGS/FEMA Soils data

Projection: GCS North American 1983

0 5 10 20 Miles






HAZUS
EARTHQUAKE • WIND • FLOOD

Study Region: Teller County

Hazard Scenario: Ute Pass Fault 7

Fire Stations Map



Created by: Colorado Geological Survey

Team: Matt Morgan and Scot Fitzgerald

Date Created: January 2013

Location: Teller County Colorado

Fault Parameters: arbitrary, magnitude 7, depth 10km

Data: Changed to CGS Landslides and CGS/FEMA Soils data

Projection: GCS North American 1983

0 5 10 20 Miles






HAZUS
EARTHQUAKE • WIND • FLOOD

Study Region: Teller County

Hazard Scenario: Ute Pass Fault 7

Police Stations Map



Created by: Colorado Geological Survey

Team: Matt Morgan and Scot Fitzgerald

Date Created: January 2013

Location: Teller County Colorado

Fault Parameters: arbitrary, magnitude 7, depth 10km

Data: Changed to CGS Landslides and CGS/FEMA Soils data

Projection: GCS North American 1983

0 5 10 20 Miles



HAZUS[®]
EARTHQUAKE • WIND • FLOOD **MH**

Study Region: Teller County

Schools Map

Hazard Scenario: Ute Pass Fault 7

Legend



Schools

Probability Damage > Extensive



Fault

Study Region Tract



Created by: Colorado Geological Survey

Team: Matt Morgan and Scot Fitzgerald

Date Created: January 2013

Location: Teller County Colorado

Fault Parameters: arbitrary, magnitude 7, depth 10km

Data: Changed to CGS Landslides and CGS/FEMA Soils data

Projection: GCS North American 1983

0 5 10 20 Miles



HAZUS
EARTHQUAKE • WIND • FLOOD **MH**

Study Region: Teller County

Hazard Scenario: Ute Pass Fault 7

Waste Water Facilities Map



Legend

Waste Water Facilities

Probability Damage > Extensive

- 0 - 10%
- 10 - 20%
- 20 - 30%
- 30 - 40%
- 40 - 50%
- 50 - 70%
- 70 - 100%

- Fault
- Study Region Tract



Created by: Colorado Geological Survey

Team: Matt Morgan and Scot Fitzgerald

Date Created: January 2013

Location: Teller County Colorado

Fault Parameters: arbitrary, magnitude 7, depth 10km

Data: Changed to CGS Landslides and CGS/FEMA Soils data

Projection: GCS North American 1983

0 5 10 20 Miles



HAZUS
EARTHQUAKE • WIND • FLOOD